



How Erotic Can Fine Art Get Before it Becomes...



Why Falling Arts Attendance Has Major...



30 Art-Writing Clichés to Ditch in the New Year

Ben Davis, Monday, January 5, 2015

SHARE





Nancy Rubins. Courtesy of the artist and Gagosian Gallery.

© Nancy Rubins. Photo: Erich Koyama.

It's a new year, which is a fine excuse as any to ditch old bad habits. Here below, I have assembled a not-at-all exhaustive list of art-writing words that I could do without in 2015. I admit, I've been guilty myself of abusing some or all of them—but of course that's what New Year's resolutions are for.

1) assembles

As in, "This exhibition assembles works from throughout X's career...." Why use this universally accepted but fairly stilted convention? Perhaps it makes putting together an exhibition sound excitingly technical—but it also makes the exhibition in question sound as if it were put together from a kit.

2) Borgesian

Jorge Luis Borges is the most overused of all literary references in art, and calling something "Borgesian" has essentially become a shortcut way to create an aura of luxuriant enigma. In some cases, the art in question may also include 1) mirrors, 2) labyrinths, 3) encyclopedias, 4) very detailed invented worlds—just like the works of the Argentine author.

(Long side note: I once saw Lawrence Weschler interview *Open Veins of Latin America* author Eduardo Galeano at the Society for Ethical Culture. Weschler just kept coming back to the idea of "mirrors," and asking Galeano about his relationship to Borges. After maybe the third time,

"Borgesian.")

3) businessman/woman

This is an oldie but a goodie that comes to me from the *Art + Auction* copy desk (and could be supplemented by the even vaguer terms "millionaire" and "billionaire"). As in, "For this interview, we sat down with businessman and art collector X...." If the person is—oh, I don't know—an <u>arms dealer</u>, maybe just say that.

4) challenges

Particularly in these usages: "challenges the viewer..." or "challenges ideas of...." Very few things are genuinely challenging, particularly when the art crowd is so very blasé about being challenged.

5) concerns

Artists are "concerned with issues of representation," or "tackle concerns of authorship." They are really "concerned" about these things! It's super "concerning!"

6) controversial

There are artworks that really merit the label "controversial," but the term is killed by overuse. Just because people are saying mean things about something on Facebook doesn't mean it is "controversial." It just means that it is on Facebook.





<u>Marilyn Minter</u>'s book *Plush*: "Deconstructing" the very basis for the West's logocentric understanding of public hair?

7) deconstructs

This is a paradoxical term in that the people who know what "deconstruction" actually was will probably roll their eyes. But the air of theoretical sophistication that it brings seems to me the main reason that it is so overused. Saying that Marilyn Minter's book featuring photography of female pubic hair "simultaneously deconstructs and glamorizes her subject" seems rather gratuitous, no?

8) explores

Specifically as it refers to the artist's intention: "She explores ideas of...." In general, if something is still in the exploration phase, then I would give it some more time before writing about it.

9) famous

There are artworks, artists, and art things that are legitimately "famous" (as in, you might find news of them in a non-art publication), like Michelangelo's *David* or Thomas Kinkade's *The Christmas Cottage*. Most

10) Haunting

This is a popular shortcut to making it sound like a work is really good if there is not that much more to say about it.

11) heterogeneous

You could say that the décor in my apartment is a "heterogeneous ensemble of elements" but that would just be an unnecessarily high-flown way of saying that it is a mess. In any case, there is definitely a reason this word gets used so much—essentially, eclecticism is the order of the day—but it is an unlovely way to do the job.

12) hybrid

This may well be a cliché about clichéd art writing. And yet the tide of hybridity goes on, unabated.

13) iconic

This is like "famous," only worse, since even among famous things you can count the real "icons" on two hands. It smacks of marketing copy.

14) infamous

Number 8's evil, but equally lazy, twin.



"Immersive," or just a big, red monster?

The opening of <u>Takashi Murakami</u>'s "In The Land of the Dead, Stepping on the Tail of a Rainbow" at Gagosian

Photo: Patrick McMullan

15) immersive

I take it that the idea of being "immersed" in something is a big, big selling point. Gagosian Gallery <u>described</u> Takashi Murakami's recent show as an "immersive installation;" but no, it was just full of big things. Which is not the same.

16) informed by

To me, when a writer says that an artist's work was "informed by" a certain set of ideas, that can be translated to, "What this show was about was unclear to me—but then I read the press release and it said the artist had read something."

17) an inquiry into

This is similar to 16, above, but more ambitious. Still, saying an art show is "an inquiry into notions of X and Y..." is a good way to make it sound like a B term paper.

18) interrogates

This is so common it hurts every time I read it. It makes art sound literally torturous.

19) interested

Artists may well be "interested" in things (or, worse, in "notions" about them)—beauty, sexuality, globalization, the history of art itself—but this is a passionless, clinical way of pitching their work. Artist Steve Lambert has a good essay about why he struck the phrase "I am interested in" from his vocabulary. Personally, when I hear the word, I think of Susan Sontag's "An Argument About Beauty:" "Imagine saying, 'That sunset is

like a vaguely freaky version of something else, than you can be sure that it is that-thing-on-acid. But, you know, man, acid is so passé. Everyone is into "crazy clown" now.

21) palimpsest

Describing something as "palimpsest-like" is a good way of telling the reader that you are really invested in arcane cultural trivia. (For the uninitiated, a palimpsest is "a manuscript or piece of writing material on which the original writing has been effaced to make room for later writing but of which traces remain.") I don't know what joker is responsible for making it cool to do so, but when I find out, you can be sure I will write a merciless clerihew about him.

22) phantasmagorical

This term is like palimpsest, only on acid. I am almost certain no one outside of the circle of people who get paid to write about the arts ever refers to something as "phantasmagorical" (except possibly people who are still crazy into 19th-century magic lanterns).

23) problematic

A tetchy way to say you think something is wrong that immediately invokes the hothouse feuds of academia. Its subtext might be, "I strongly and forcefully disagree with you—or perhaps I am merely irritated because you didn't use proper MLA-style footnoting."

24) profound

As a rule, it seems that artworks aren't just moving these days; they are "profoundly moving." An artist doesn't just get it; she has a "profound understanding" of what's going on. So much profundity out there! This is similar to just adding an exclamation point to things for emphasis!

25) provisional

"Provisional Painting" has had its moment, and there is, no doubt, a lot of stuff that looks half-finished or fragile or tentative or underproduced

through.

26) questions

Whether presented as a verb or noun ("X asks questions about..." or "X questions the very notion of..."), it doesn't matter. Where are the answers, people? Where are the answers??

27) readymade

I know I am not going to get my wish on this, but I think it's time we put to bed the entire industry of art criticism built around invocations of the "readymade." In a world full of interesting and plenteous manufactured things, artists sometimes use found objects—sometimes altered, sometimes just-as-they-are. (Art historian Alex Potts's idea of the "As Found" is a much better framework, incidentally). That is now an over-100-year-old way of working, and needn't be burdened with the idea that to do so means that the artist is "revisiting the tradition of the readymade."

28) referent

Unless you are doing serious semiology (which mostly you are not, right?), this is an unnecessarily technocratic sounding synonym for "subject matter."

29) revelatory

There is a major case of revelation inflation in art. (I can't help it: When people start having revelations at the drop of a hat, I start to think of the old *Saturday Night Live* character, "Orgasm Guy." Now you will too.)

30) rhizomatic

Let's conclude with some more fun with Gagosian press releases: This year, the mega-gallery <u>pitched</u> Nancy Rubins's monumental sculptures of stuck-together toys as "rhizomatic," which, as Martha Schwendener pointed out, is <u>all wrong</u>. (The full sentence from the release is, "Geological in scope and metastatic in formation, these rhizomatic structures brim with dark energy"—yikes!) How did an adjective derived

the "rhizome" in their opus *A Thousand Plateaus* as a way to describe meaning that defies being captured in any linear way. They would probably be spinning in their graves to see it transformed into a glib way to package art.

Follow @benadavis on Twitter.

SHARE

Related Articles



ams, On the ey and White premacy



The Future of Commercial Art Galleries, Part Two



Chinese A Market Rebo to \$8.5 Billi 201...

NEXT ART WORLD ARTICLE

Davis Museum to Mount

Contact Us Advertise Press Releases Terms

artnet Magazine Archive: English (US) Deuts

©2015 Artnet Worldwide Corporation. All Rights R